



Agenda item: 3. Rule Review

Presenter: Mathew

Discussion:

Mathew went through the rules, section by section and the group made comments and suggestions:

Section 200: As CCDHH grows and changes in the next few years, the organization of the office may change and a name change for LAS will be discussed. Kirk asked, should “auxiliary aids” be taken out as LAS does not provide aids. Diane suggested “accommodations”. Cheri suggested the addition of “Deaf-Blind” throughout the document for consistency with changes to the CCDHH mandate.

Section 210 Definitions:

- should consider “person first” language
- delete any definitions that are already in the enabling statute (C.R.S. 13-90-201 to 210)
- be consistent with definitions in CCDHH statute
- keep definition for “Good Cause” as this is used for the Complaint Process and does not appear anywhere else

Section 220 Appointment

- #9, remove “undue burden”?
- #9, add mental health evals(including children), and custody and divorce matters
- #3, clarify commission and agency hearings (examples ?)
- #7, remove?
- #10, add “extension of privilege”?
- Add list of where a CDI/CHI team is recommended?

Section 230 Qualifications

- RID moratorium could affect the names of tests and certifications
- NIC Generalist test and CDI test will be offered starting in June 2016
- No word on SC:L (Specialist Certificate: Legal) test, still on hold, potential for written test only in the future
- RID considering accepting BEI (Texas) certification-Colorado law does not currently accept the BEI certification
- Keep CDI under status I? (Status of CLIP-R certification?)
- Suggestion to hold off on more discussion of the interpreter section due to RID changes
- CART certifications need to be updated per NCRA (National Court Reporters Association)
- add “Maintenance” section under CART Status II
- add “Deaf Interpreters” under Status III Intermediary or add Status V(change the terminology?)

Conclusions:

Rules should correlate with all other CCDHH statutes and reflect ADA language as appropriate.

Action items	Person responsible	Deadline
✓ Research ADA language regarding auxiliary “aids”	Cheri	
✓ Research definitions in LAS statute (C.R.S. 13-90-201 to 210)	Cheri	
✓ Talk to Carole about State Board position on definitions in rule	Cheri	
✓ Research current CART certifications and make recommendation	Diane	Next meeting
✓		
✓		
✓		



Agenda item: 4. Next steps

Presenter: Cheri

Discussion:

The RID outcome will affect the rules.

Conclusions:

More time is needed to see what happens with the RID certification issue. A timeline is needed for the rule review work to stay on track.

Action items

- ✓ Follow RID certification issues for effect on Colo rules
- ✓ Develop timeline (1 year?)

Person responsible

Cheri will share with group
Mathew & Cheri

Deadline

ongoing

Agenda item: 5. Other

Presenter: Mathew

Discussion:

Mathew brought up the issue in the 4th Judicial District regarding the label “disabled”. Once a deaf, hard of hearing or deaf-blind person checks that box on the form at the beginning of a case, they are not able to request accommodation.

Conclusions:

More information needed

Action items

- ✓ Research “disabled” label issue in the 4th Judicial
- ✓ Relay information to Cheri
- ✓

Person responsible

Mathew
Mathew

Deadline

Other Information

Observers: None

Resources:

- [Colorado Title Protection Statue & FAQ's](#)
- [CCDHH Legal Auxiliary Service Statute](#)
- [Legal Auxiliary Services Rules](#)

Special notes:

HB 1414 will be passed that affect the CCDHH office this legislative session. It updates the DTUF (Disabled Telephone Users Fund) statute to include current and future changes in telecommunication technology as well as adding services to the Deaf-Blind community.